



LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY OF MANITOBA

VOTES AND PROCEEDINGS No. 68

THIRD SESSION, THIRTY-NINTH LEGISLATURE

PRAYER

1:30 O'CLOCK P.M.

On motion of Hon. Ms. IRVIN-ROSS, Bill (No. 38) The Addictions Foundation Amendment Act/Loi modifiant la Loi sur la Fondation manitobaine de lutte contre les dépendances, was read a First Time and had its purposes outlined.

The following petitions were presented and read:

Mr. DYCK – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to urge the Minister of Health to ensure that patients who are awaiting placement in a personal care home are not moved to distant communities and to consider working with the RHA and the community to speed construction and expansion of long term care facilities in the region. (M. Unrau, H. Doell, S. Janzen and others)

Mrs. DRIEDGER – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to urge the Minister of Health to consider rethinking her refusal to allow an ophthalmologist to practice in Swan River and to consider working with the community to provide this service without further delay. (D. Williamson, M. Wynn, L. Olsen and others)

Mr. LAMOUREUX – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to request that the Premier of Manitoba consider how important it is to have the Seven Oaks Hospital provide full emergency services seven days a week 24 hours a day. (R. Almario, S. Almario, J. Hay and others)

Mrs. STEFANSON – Legislative Assembly of Manitoba to To urge the Minister of Health to consider working with the Interlake Regional Health Authority to provide midwifery services to women in this health region. (C. Mulvena, D. Helm, C. Wurster and others)

Pursuant to Rule 26(1), Mrs. ROWAT, Ms. KORZENIOWSKI, Messrs. SCHULER and MARTINDALE and Hon. Mr. GERRARD made Members' Statements.

In accordance with Rule 27, Mrs. TAILLIEU rose on a Grievance.

Ms. BLADY moved:

THAT Bill (No. 238) – The Service Animals Protection Act/Loi sur la protection des animaux d'assistance, as amended and reported from the Standing Committee on Social and Economic Development, be concurred in and be now read a Third Time and passed.

And a debate arising,

And Ms. BLADY and Mr. LAMOUREUX having spoken,

And the Question being put. It was agreed to.

The Bill was accordingly concurred in, read a Third Time and passed.

The House resumed the Adjourned Debate on the Proposed Motion of Hon. Mr. BJORNSON:

THAT Bill (No. 37) – The Public Schools Amendment Act (Limited At Large Elections of Trustees)/Loi modifiant la Loi sur les écoles publiques (modalités d'élection des commissaires dans des circonstances limitées), be now read a Second Time and be referred to a Committee of this House.

And the debate continuing,

And leave having been denied to have the matter remain in the name of Mr. HAWRANIK,

And Mr. SCHULER having spoken,

And the Question being put. It was agreed to.

The Bill was accordingly read a Second Time and referred to a Committee of this House.

By leave, the Order of the Day having been read for consideration of Report Stage Amendment of Bill (No. 4) – The Community Revitalization Tax Increment Financing Act/Loi sur le financement fiscal de la revitalisation urbaine, reported from the Standing Committee on Social and Economic Development:

Mr. BRIESE moved:

THAT Bill 4 be amended by replacing Clause 4 with the following:

Tax increment financing according to plan

4(1) Tax increment financing under this Act may be implemented only in accordance with a tax increment financing plan that meets the requirements of subsection (2).

Content of plan

4(2) A tax increment financing plan must set out

- (a) the objectives of the plan and the risks and benefits associated with it;
- (b) the need for the plan, including substantiation that development or redevelopment will not progress significantly without it;
- (c) a description of the properties or classes of property that are proposed to be designated as community revitalization properties;
- (d) a statement as to whether the properties to be designated are, or will be, properties in respect of which tax increment financing will also apply to municipal property taxes;
- (e) a description of
 - (i) the projected revenue from tax increment financing attributable to the proposed designations,
 - (ii) the grants proposed to be made from that revenue,
 - (iii) any financing arrangements that depend on the grants to be made from that revenue, and
 - (iv) any contingency plans to be implemented in the event that the actual revenue is greater or less than the revenue required to support those grants;
- (f) the projected impact, if any, that the plan will have on residents affected by the plan; and
- (g) the projected impact that the plan will have on any school division affected by the plan.

Public planning process

4(3) Before a tax increment financing plan may be implemented, the minister must

- (a) consult with
 - (i) the council of each municipality within which any property to be designated under the plan is located, and
 - (ii) the school board of each school division within which any property to be designated under the plan is located;
- (b) hold one or more public hearings to review the plan, after making it available to the public for inspection and giving notice to the public of the proposed hearings and where the plan is available for inspection; and
- (c) keep a record of the public hearings and make those records available to the public.

Revision to plan

4(4) If a change to the plan is proposed in the course of a hearing under subsection (3), the minister may, without holding further hearings on the revised plan, revise the plan to reflect the proposed change

Community revitalization property

4(5) The Lieutenant Governor in Council may, by regulation,

(a) designate real property as a community revitalization property in accordance with the tax increment financing plan if the Lieutenant Governor in Council is satisfied that

(i) a significant improvement to the property would not occur without the proposed tax increment financing, and

(ii) it is in the public interest to support the proposed improvement with tax increment financing; and

(b) designate other real property as a community revitalization property in accordance with the tax increment financing plan if it is in close proximity to property designated under clause (a).

And a debate arising,

And Mr. BRIESE, Hon. Mr. LEMIEUX, Mrs. STEFANSON, Mr. BOROTSIK, Hon. Mr. GERRARD, Messrs. PEDERSEN and MAGUIRE having spoken,

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived.

By leave, Mr. BRIESE then withdrew his second Proposed Amendment.

The Order of the Day having been read for consideration of Report Stage Amendment of Bill (No. 26) – The Apprenticeship and Certification Act/Loi sur l'apprentissage et la reconnaissance professionnelle, reported from the Standing Committee on Legislative Affairs:

Mr. PEDERSEN moved:

THAT Bill 26 be amended in Clause 4(3) by adding "The board must take into account the results of the consultations when preparing the annual strategic plan." at the end.

And a debate arising,

And Mr. PEDERSEN, Hon. Ms. ALLAN and Mr. GRAYDON having spoken,

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived.

Mr. PEDERSEN then moved:

THAT Bill 26 be amended by adding the following after Clause 9(2):

Merging committees

9(2.1) Despite subsection (1), the board may, by by-law, merge two or more of the committees set out in that subsection. The merged committee must perform all of the roles assigned to the applicable committees under section 10.

And Mr. PEDERSEN, Hon. Ms. ALLAN and Mr. FAURSCHOU having spoken,

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived.

Mr. PEDERSEN then moved:

THAT Bill 26 be amended in Clause 15(1) by adding the following after clause (a):

(a.1) to consult with employers, employees, persons who provide training and other participants in the apprenticeship system on matters under this Act;

And Mr. PEDERSEN, Hon. Ms. ALLAN and Mrs. TAILLIEU having spoken,

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived.

Mr. PEDERSEN then moved:

THAT Bill 26 be amended in Clause 19(2) by striking out "executive director" wherever it occurs and substituting "board".

And Mr. PEDERSEN, Hon. Ms. ALLAN and Mr. MAGUIRE having spoken,

And the Question being put on the amendment. It was negatived.

The House then adjourned at 5:00 p.m. until 10:00 a.m., Thursday, September 24, 2009.

Hon. George HICKES,
Speaker.